

in *Berichte der Gesellschaft für Mathematik und Datenverarbeitung* **63** (1972), Part 1, 32 pages. English translation, **106** (1976), 7–20.

- [ZU 45] K. Zuse, *Der Plankalkül*, manuscript prepared in 1945. Published in *Berichte der Gesellschaft für Mathematik und Datenverarbeitung* **63** (1972), Part 3, 285 pages. English translation of all but pages 176–196, **106** (1976), 42–244.
- [ZU 48] K. Zuse, “Über den Allgemeinen [sic] Plankalkül als Mittel zur Formulierung schematisch-kombinativer Aufgaben,” *Archiv der Mathematik* **1** (1949), 441–449.
- [ZU 59] K. Zuse, “Über den Plankalkül,” *Elektronische Rechenanlagen* **1** (1959), 68–71.
- [ZU 72] Konrad Zuse, “Kommentar zum Plankalkül,” *Berichte der Gesellschaft für Mathematik und Datenverarbeitung* **63** (1972), Part 2, 36 pages. English translation, **106** (1976), 21–41.

Addendum

Two other languages, Transcode and PACT I, deserve to be part of the story as well, so they appear in Table 1 above although they were unfortunately missed by the authors when we first compiled this history.

Transcode was developed for the FERUT computer, a clone of the Ferranti Mark I that was installed at the University of Toronto in 1952. The authors of this language, J. N. P. Hume and B. H. Worsley, devised a way to copy with FERUT’s awkward two-level storage that was more efficient than the AUTOCODE approach being taken independently by Brooker in Manchester, because they expected Transcode programmers to be aware of FERUT’s overall characteristics. To perform the TPK algorithm they might have punched the following codes onto a paper tape:

000	INST	020	Inputs the following 20 Instructions.
001	READ	001.0 000.0 X00.0	Copies DRUM location 1 into X-page.
002	ADDN	C01.0 C01.0 Z01.0	Sets initial value of $i = 10 - j$.
003	BSET	000.5 000.0 000.0	Sets initial value of B_5 .
004	LOOP	011.0 000.6 000.0	Prepares to loop 11 times, using B_6 .
005	KOMP	X11.5 C02.0 Z02.0	Forms $ a_i - 0$.
006	$\frac{1}{2}$ QRT	Z02.0 000.0 Z02.0	Forms $ a_i ^{1/2}$.
007	MULT	X11.5 X11.5 Z03.0	Forms $a_i \cdot a_i$.
008	MULT	X11.5 Z03.0 Z03.0	Forms $a_i \cdot a_i \cdot a_i$.
009	MULT	C01.0 Z03.0 Z03.0	Forms $5a_i \cdot a_i \cdot a_i$.
010	ADDN	Z02.0 Z03.0 Z02.0	Forms $f(a_i)$.

92 *Selected Papers on Computer Languages*

011	SUBT	Z02.0	C03.0	Z03.0	Forms $f(a_i) - 400$.			
012	TRNS	014.0	000.0	Z03.0	Transfers control if $f(a_i) - 400 \geq 0$.			
013	TRNS	015.0	000.0	000.0	Transfers control unconditionally.			
014	OVER	C04.0	000.0	Z02.0	Enters 999 in place of $f(a_i)$.			
015	PRNT	002.2	010.0	Z01.0	Prints answers.			
016	SUBT	Z01.0	C05.0	Z01.0	Adjusts i to its next value.			
017	INCB	000.5	003.0	000.0	Adjusts B_5 to its next value.			
018	TRNS	005.0	000.6	000.0	Ends loop, adjusting B_6 .			
019	HALT	000.0	000.0	000.0	Programs a stop.			
020	QUIT	000.0	000.0	000.0	Terminates the Instructions.			
021	CNST	5++	1+100-	4+2+	999+2+	1++	"	Specifies the five constants.
022	NUMB	a_0	a_1	\dots	a_{10}	"		Specifies the input data.
023	DRUM	001						Stores block 1 of numerical data.
024	ENTR							Begins compilation and execution.

The variables in Transcode, other than those in B registers, were floating-point numbers X01, X02, . . . , Y01, Y02, . . . , Z01, Z02, . . . , consisting of three 20-bit words each, namely a 40-bit signed fraction and a signed 20-bit exponent. But Transcode programmers didn't have to deal with binary notation; for example, the five constants C01 = 5, C02 = 0, C03 = 400, C04 = 999, C06 = 1 in the program above are specified on line 021 in decimal form as \langle mantissa \rangle \langle sign \rangle \langle exponent \rangle \langle exponent sign \rangle . A constant like $-.0073$ would be '73-3-'; and the same conventions applied to input data in a NUMB specification such as line 022. The PRNT command on line 015 outputs Z01 and Z02 to a line on FERUT's typewriter, in floating-point notation with ten significant digits.

Variables were stored backwards in memory, so that the address of the first word of X02 was 3 locations *less* than the address of X01. The main loop of this program, governed by instructions 003, 004, 017, and 018, is performed for eleven index register settings $(B_5, B_6) = (0, 30), (3, 27), \dots, (30, 0)$; thus X11.5 is X11 the first time, then X10, . . . , then X01. Meanwhile variable $i = Z01$ steps through the values 10, 9, . . . , 0, because of instructions 002 and 016.

Programmers could say KOPY at the end, following ENTR; then the compiled instructions would be punched on paper tape, for subsequent use as a subroutine in other programs. Since paper tape code was equivalent to teletype code, programs could also be transmitted "online" to Toronto from remote sites in Canada. [For further details, see J. N. P. Hume and Beatrice H. Worsley, "Transcode: A system of automatic coding for FERUT," *Journal of the ACM* 2 (1955), 243–252; J. N. Patterson Hume, "Development of systems software for the Ferut computer at the University of Toronto, 1952 to 1955," *IEEE Annals of the History of Computing* 16,2 (Summer 1994), 13–19.]